

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIAL - Length averages across multiple sites | | | | | | February 20, 2022 update | |
|---|-------------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers & Ginners Association, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | |
| Length values - 2021 trials | | | | | | | |
| | | Buttonwillow area | Corcoran area | Huron area | Los Banos | West Side REC | AVERAGE |
| | | KERN COUNTY | KINGS COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | MERCED COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | across all sites |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | Length (in) | Length (in) | Length (in) | Length (in) | Length (in) | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 1.46 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 1.48 | 1.51 | 1.48 |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 1.43 | 1.47 | 1.46 | 1.42 | 1.43 | 1.44 |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 1.44 | 1.48 | 1.50 | 1.47 | 1.45 | 1.47 |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 1.45 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1.48 |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.51 | 1.51 | 1.47 | 1.49 |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 1.44 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.44 |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 1.43 | 1.48 | 1.44 | 1.41 | 1.42 | 1.44 |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / DeltaPine | 1.43 | 1.44 | 1.44 | 1.42 | 1.46 | 1.44 |
| HA 1432 * | Gowan / Hazera | | | | | 1.34 | |
| MEAN | | 1.44 | 1.47 | 1.47 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.46 |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | NS | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | |
| P ^c | | 0.142 | 0.027 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| * this variety was only grown at the UC West Side REC location - growers at other sites only wanted to include transgenic herbicide resistant entries in the trials | | | | | | | |
| * NOTE: GINNING was done using a mini-gin. This simple ginning method differs from UCCE methods in years when the SJV Cotton Board trials were run (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners and sample sizes are smaller). | | | | | | | |
| Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of the mini-gin. | | | | | | | |
| All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations, so gin turnout and lint percent numbers represent relative variety differences. | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIAL - Strength averages across multiple sites | | | | | | February 20, 2022 update | |
|--|-------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers & Ginners Association, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | |
| Strength values - 2021 trials | | | | | | | |
| | | <i>Buttonwillow area</i> | <i>Corcoran area</i> | <i>Huron area</i> | <i>Los Banos</i> | <i>West Side REC</i> | <i>AVERAGE</i> |
| | | KERN COUNTY | KINGS COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | MERCED COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | across all sites |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | Strength (g/Tex) | Strength (g/Tex) | Strength (g/Tex) | Strength (g/Tex) | Strength (g/Tex) | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 46.0 | 46.7 | 47.6 | 47.2 | 47.6 | 47.0 |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 48.0 | 49.0 | 48.6 | 48.7 | 49.0 | 48.7 |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 46.4 | 45.5 | 49.2 | 49.0 | 45.3 | 47.1 |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 48.1 | 44.6 | 47.9 | 48.5 | 46.3 | 47.1 |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 46.8 | 44.8 | 48.1 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 46.9 |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 45.3 | 43.6 | 46.8 | 46.9 | 46.5 | 45.8 |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 45.2 | 45.7 | 47.2 | 47.8 | 45.5 | 46.3 |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / DeltaPine | 48.2 | 45.8 | 47.9 | 49.9 | 48.7 | 48.1 |
| HA 1432 * | Gowan / Hazera | | | | | 41.8 | |
| MEAN | | 46.8 | 45.7 | 47.9 | 48.2 | 46.4 | 47.0 |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | 1.9 | 2.7 | NS | NS | 2.7 | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 2.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 | |
| P ^c | | 0.009 | 0.023 | 0.324 | 0.244 | 0.001 | |
| * this variety was only grown at the UC West Side REC location - growers at other sites only wanted to include transgenic herbicide resistant entries in the trials | | | | | | | |
| * NOTE: GINNING was done using a mini-gin. This simple ginning method differs from UCCE methods in years when the SJV Cotton Board trials were run (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners and sample sizes are smaller). | | | | | | | |
| Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of the mini-gin. | | | | | | | |
| All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations, so gin turnout and lint percent numbers represent relative variety differences. | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIAL - Micronaire averages across multiple sites | | | | | | February 20, 2022 update | | |
|--|-------------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers & Ginners Association, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | |
| Micronaire values - 2021 trials | | | | | | | | |
| | | Buttonwillow area | Corcoran area | Huron area | Los Banos | West Side REC | AVERAGE | |
| | | KERN COUNTY | KINGS COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | MERCED COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | across all sites | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | Micronaire | Micronaire | Micronaire | Micronaire | Micronaire | | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 4.60 | 4.19 | 4.50 | 4.45 | 4.10 | 4.37 | |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 4.37 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.58 | 4.28 | 4.43 | |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 4.65 | 4.15 | 4.30 | 4.33 | 3.80 | 4.25 | |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 4.45 | 4.18 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.03 | 4.25 | |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 4.30 | 4.18 | 4.38 | 4.55 | 4.10 | 4.30 | |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.34 | |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 4.40 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.48 | 4.15 | 4.39 | |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / DeltaPine | 4.48 | 4.23 | 4.40 | 4.23 | 4.38 | 4.34 | |
| HA 1432 * | Gowan / Hazera | | | | | 3.75 | | |
| MEAN | | 4.45 | 4.27 | 4.40 | 4.43 | 4.07 | 4.32 | |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | 0.2 | NS | NS | NS | 0.3 | | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.7 | | |
| P ^c | | 0.030 | 0.102 | 0.327 | 0.455 | 0.002 | | |
| * this variety was only grown at the UC West Side REC location - growers at other sites only wanted to include transgenic herbicide resistant entries in the trials | | | | | | | | |
| * NOTE: GINNING was done using a mini-gin. This simple ginning method differs from UCCE methods in years when the SJV Cotton Board trials were run (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners and sample sizes are smaller). | | | | | | | | |
| Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of the mini-gin. | | | | | | | | |
| All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations, so gin turnout and lint percent numbers represent relative variety differences. | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIAL - Uniformity averages across multiple sites | | | | | | February 20, 2022 update | | |
|---|-------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers & Ginners Association, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | |
| Uniformity values - 2021 trials | | | | | | | | |
| | | Buttonwillow area | Corcoran area | Huron area | Los Banos | West Side REC | AVERAGE | |
| | | KERN COUNTY | KINGS COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | MERCED COUNTY | FRESNO COUNTY | across all sites | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | Uniformity Index (UI) | Uniformity Index (UI) | Uniformity Index (UI) | Uniformity Index (UI) | Uniformity Index (UI) | | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 88.0 | 87.4 | 88.4 | 87.2 | 88.2 | 87.8 | |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 88.0 | 88.0 | 87.9 | 86.8 | 87.6 | 87.7 | |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 87.3 | 86.4 | 88.2 | 87.6 | 87.1 | 87.3 | |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 87.7 | 86.5 | 87.5 | 88.0 | 87.3 | 87.4 | |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 87.9 | 86.9 | 87.7 | 87.0 | 87.7 | 87.4 | |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 87.9 | 87.9 | 87.6 | 87.4 | 87.6 | 87.7 | |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / DeltaPine | 87.2 | 87.4 | 87.8 | 88.3 | 86.3 | 87.4 | |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / DeltaPine | 88.6 | 87.2 | 88.0 | 88.0 | 88.7 | 88.1 | |
| HA 1432 * | Gowan / Hazera | | | | | 85.7 | | |
| MEAN | | 87.8 | 87.2 | 87.9 | 87.5 | 87.4 | 87.6 | |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | NS | 1.1 | NS | NS | 1.2 | | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | | |
| P ^c | | 0.238 | 0.034 | 0.780 | 0.348 | 0.002 | | |
| * this variety was only grown at the UC West Side REC location - growers at other sites only wanted to include transgenic herbicide resistant entries in the trials | | | | | | | | |
| * NOTE: GINNING was done using a mini-gin. This simple ginning method differs from UCCE methods in years when the SJV Cotton Board trials were run (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners and sample sizes are smaller). | | | | | | | | |
| Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of the mini-gin. | | | | | | | | |
| All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations, so gin turnout and lint percent numbers represent relative variety differences. | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIALS - Buttonwillow area - Kern County - Bone Farms | | | | | | | February 21, 2022 update | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|--|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; UC West Side REC staff | | | | | | | | | |
| | | San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION: Buttonwillow area - Kern County - Bone Farms - 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | MANUAL | | | | | |
| | | | | | | CLASSING | | | | | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | MICRO-NAIRE | LENGTH (in) | STRENGTH (g/Tex) | UNIFORMITY INDEX | LEAF GRADE | HVI COLOR | HVI TRASH | COLOR RD | +B | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 4.60 | 1.46 | 46.0 | 88.0 | 7.00 | 5.50 | 2.44 | 60.9 | 10.1 | |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 4.37 | 1.43 | 48.0 | 88.0 | 7.00 | 5.67 | 2.96 | 60.3 | 10.6 | |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 4.65 | 1.44 | 46.4 | 87.3 | 7.00 | 5.75 | 3.28 | 60.2 | 10.3 | |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 4.45 | 1.45 | 48.1 | 87.7 | 7.00 | 5.75 | 2.93 | 61.7 | 10.1 | |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 4.30 | 1.47 | 46.8 | 87.9 | 7.00 | 5.50 | 2.68 | 61.8 | 10.2 | |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.32 | 1.44 | 45.3 | 87.9 | 7.00 | 5.87 | 2.95 | 60.5 | 10.2 | |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.40 | 1.43 | 45.2 | 87.2 | 7.00 | 5.75 | 3.20 | 60.6 | 10.4 | |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.48 | 1.43 | 48.2 | 88.6 | 7.00 | 5.75 | 3.33 | 60.8 | 9.9 | |
| MEAN | | 4.45 | 1.44 | 46.8 | 87.8 | 7.00 | 5.69 | 2.97 | 60.9 | 10.2 | |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | 0.22 | NS | 1.9 | NS | NS | NS | | NS | 0.3 | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | | 0.52 | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 3.3 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | | 8.00 | 14.30 | 1.8 | 2.0 | |
| P ^c | | 0.030 | 0.142 | 0.009 | 0.238 | | 0.929 | 0.094 | 0.352 | 0.006 | |
| * NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMITTED FOR HVI ANALYSES were separated from seed using a mini-gin. This ginning method differs from UCCE methods used prior to 2017 (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners). Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of a table top style of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIALS - Corcoran area - Kings County - Hansen Ranch | | | | | | | February 21, 2022 update | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|--|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; UC West Side REC staff | | | | | | | | | |
| | | San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION: Corcoran area - Kings County - Hansen Ranch - 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | MANUAL | | | | | |
| | | | | | | CLASSING | | | | | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | MICRO-NAIRE | LENGTH (in) | STRENGTH (g/Tex) | UNIFORMITY INDEX | LEAF GRADE | HVI COLOR | HVI TRASH | COLOR RD | +B | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 4.19 | 1.49 | 46.7 | 87.4 | 6.75 | 4.30 | 1.86 | 64.7 | 10.7 | |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 4.45 | 1.47 | 49.0 | 88.0 | 6.75 | 4.75 | 1.88 | 63.5 | 10.8 | |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 4.15 | 1.48 | 45.5 | 86.4 | 6.25 | 4.25 | 1.40 | 64.7 | 11.0 | |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 4.18 | 1.49 | 44.6 | 86.5 | 5.75 | 4.00 | 1.25 | 64.6 | 10.9 | |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 4.18 | 1.49 | 44.8 | 86.9 | 6.50 | 4.25 | 1.63 | 64.5 | 11.1 | |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.33 | 1.45 | 43.6 | 87.9 | 6.50 | 4.50 | 1.60 | 64.0 | 10.9 | |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.45 | 1.48 | 45.7 | 87.4 | 7.00 | 4.50 | 1.73 | 64.3 | 10.9 | |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.23 | 1.44 | 45.8 | 87.2 | 6.50 | 4.50 | 1.53 | 64.4 | 10.4 | |
| MEAN | | 4.27 | 1.47 | 45.7 | 87.2 | 6.50 | 4.38 | 1.61 | 64.3 | 10.8 | |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | NS | 0.03 | 2.7 | 1.1 | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.3 | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 4.1 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 10.30 | 11.70 | 23.60 | 1.9 | 2.0 | |
| P ^c | | 0.102 | 0.027 | 0.023 | 0.034 | 0.314 | 0.598 | 0.299 | 0.849 | 0.012 | |
| * NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMITTED FOR HVI ANALYSES were separated from seed using a mini-gin. This ginning method differs from UCCE methods used prior to 2017 (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners). Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of a table top style of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIALS - Huron area - Fresno County - Sheely / AZCAL | | | | | | | February 21, 2022 update | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|--|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; UC West Side REC staff | | | | | | | | | |
| | | San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION: Huron area - Fresno County - Sheely / AZCAL - 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | MANUAL CLASSING | | | | | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | MICRO-NAIRE | LENGTH (in) | STRENGTH (g/Tex) | UNIFORMITY INDEX | LEAF GRADE | HVI COLOR | HVI TRASH | COLOR RD | +B | |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 4.50 | 1.47 | 47.6 | 88.4 | 6.25 | 3.00 | 1.73 | 67.9 | 10.9 | |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 4.45 | 1.46 | 48.6 | 87.9 | 7.00 | 3.75 | 2.00 | 66.0 | 11.0 | |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 4.30 | 1.50 | 49.2 | 88.2 | 7.00 | 3.50 | 2.10 | 66.5 | 11.2 | |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 4.25 | 1.49 | 47.9 | 87.5 | 7.00 | 4.25 | 2.65 | 64.3 | 11.2 | |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 4.38 | 1.51 | 48.1 | 87.7 | 7.00 | 4.25 | 2.40 | 65.0 | 10.9 | |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.50 | 1.45 | 46.8 | 87.6 | 7.00 | 3.75 | 2.15 | 65.7 | 11.2 | |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.45 | 1.44 | 47.2 | 87.8 | 6.75 | 3.75 | 1.78 | 65.9 | 11.1 | |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.40 | 1.44 | 47.9 | 88.0 | 7.00 | 3.99 | 2.52 | 65.2 | 10.7 | |
| MEAN | | 4.40 | 1.47 | 47.9 | 87.9 | 6.88 | 3.78 | 2.17 | 65.8 | 11.0 | |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | NS | 0.03 | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.60 | | 0.3 | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | | | 1.8 | | |
| %CV ^b | | 3.7 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 5.90 | 20.20 | 18.80 | 2.3 | 1.8 | |
| P ^c | | 0.327 | 0.001 | 0.324 | 0.780 | 0.152 | 0.372 | 0.042 | 0.100 | 0.029 | |
| * NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMITTED FOR HVI ANALYSES were separated from seed using a mini-gin. This ginning method differs from UCCE methods used prior to 2017 (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners). Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of a table top style of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIALS - Five Points area - Fresno County - West Side REC | | | | | | | | February 21, 2022 update | | |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|-------|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | | | | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, | | | | | | | | |
| | | Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; UC West Side REC staff | | | | | | | | |
| | | San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION: Five Points area - Fresno County - University of CA West Side REC - 2021 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | MANUAL | | | |
| | | | | | | | CLASSING | | | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | MICRO-NAIRE | LENGTH (in) | STRENGTH (g/Tex) | UNIFORMITY INDEX | LEAF GRADE | HVI COLOR | HVI TRASH | COLOR RD | +B |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 4.10 | 1.51 | 47.6 | 88.2 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 2.80 | 61.1 | 12.0 |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 4.28 | 1.43 | 49.0 | 87.6 | 7.00 | 4.50 | 2.60 | 62.3 | 12.0 |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 3.80 | 1.45 | 45.3 | 87.1 | 7.00 | 4.50 | 2.33 | 61.7 | 12.7 |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 4.03 | 1.48 | 46.3 | 87.3 | 7.00 | 4.25 | 2.65 | 62.3 | 12.2 |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 4.10 | 1.47 | 47.3 | 87.7 | 7.00 | 4.50 | 2.63 | 62.2 | 12.1 |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.05 | 1.45 | 46.5 | 87.6 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 2.93 | 60.6 | 12.3 |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.15 | 1.42 | 45.5 | 86.3 | 7.00 | 4.75 | 2.45 | 60.9 | 12.0 |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.38 | 1.46 | 48.7 | 88.7 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 2.85 | 61.5 | 11.7 |
| HA 1432 | Gowan / Hazera | 3.75 | 1.34 | 41.8 | 85.7 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.10 | 64.7 | 11.2 |
| MEAN | | 4.07 | 1.45 | 46.4 | 87.4 | 7.00 | 4.61 | 2.59 | 61.9 | 12.0 |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | 0.28 | 0.05 | 2.7 | 1.2 | NS | | NS | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | | 0.58 | | | |
| %CV ^b | | 4.7 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 1.0 | | 10.40 | 15.80 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| P ^c | | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | | 0.063 | 0.157 | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| * NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMITTED FOR HVI ANALYSES were separated from seed using a mini-gin. This ginning method differs from UCCE methods used prior to 2017 (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners). Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of a table top style of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations. | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2021 University of California - PIMA VARIETY TRIALS - Los Banos area - Merced County - Bowles Farm | | | | | | | | February 21, 2022 update | | |
|---|-------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|-------|
| fiber quality (hvi data summary) - from evaluations done at USDA Visalia Classing Office | | | | | | | | | | |
| Questions? | | Cooperative Project by: | | | | | | | | |
| contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA) | | University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC | | | | | | | | |
| Cell: (559) 260-8957 | | Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. | | | | | | | | |
| email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu | | Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Jose Dias, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Jorge Angeles, Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties; UC West Side REC staff | | | | | | | | |
| | | San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers Assoc.-Shafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION: Los Banos area - Merced County - Bowles Farms - 2021 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | MANUAL | | | | |
| | | | | | | CLASSING | | | | |
| VARIETY | SEED COMPANY | MICRO-NAIRE | LENGTH (in) | STRENGTH (g/Tex) | UNIFORMITY INDEX | LEAF GRADE | HVI COLOR | HVI TRASH | COLOR RD | +B |
| PHY 881 RF | Phytogen | 4.45 | 1.48 | 47.2 | 87.2 | 6.25 | 4.25 | 2.28 | 64.5 | 11.2 |
| PHY 807 RF | Phytogen | 4.58 | 1.42 | 48.7 | 86.8 | 7.00 | 4.75 | 3.03 | 62.8 | 11.3 |
| PHY 1180-A101 RF | Phytogen | 4.33 | 1.47 | 49.0 | 87.6 | 7.00 | 4.50 | 2.53 | 64.0 | 11.1 |
| PHY 1180-A103 RF | Phytogen | 4.33 | 1.48 | 48.5 | 88.0 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 2.98 | 61.9 | 11.4 |
| PHY 1180-A105 RF | Phytogen | 4.55 | 1.51 | 47.3 | 87.0 | 7.00 | 4.25 | 2.30 | 63.8 | 11.2 |
| DP 347 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.48 | 1.43 | 46.9 | 87.4 | 6.50 | 4.00 | 2.28 | 64.4 | 11.5 |
| DP 359 RF | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.48 | 1.41 | 47.8 | 88.3 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 2.65 | 63.1 | 11.1 |
| DP 20R022 R2P | Bayer / Deltapine | 4.23 | 1.42 | 49.9 | 88.0 | 7.00 | 4.75 | 2.75 | 63.9 | 10.8 |
| MEAN | | 4.43 | 1.45 | 48.2 | 87.5 | 6.84 | 4.56 | 2.60 | 63.6 | 11.2 |
| LSD 0.05 ^a | | NS | 0.04 | NS | NS | | | NS | | |
| LSD 0.10 ^a | | | | | | 0.49 | 0.63 | | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| %CV ^b | | 5.5 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 5.90 | 11.30 | 18.80 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| P ^c | | 0.455 | 0.000 | 0.244 | 0.348 | 0.080 | 0.094 | 0.200 | 0.054 | 0.058 |
| * NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMITTED FOR HVI ANALYSES were separated from seed using a mini-gin. This ginning method differs from UCCE methods used prior to 2017 (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners). Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of a table top style of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations. | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a LSD 0.05= least significant difference at 5% level; LSD 0.10=least significant difference at 10% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications NS = no significant statistical difference between entries in this measured value (at the LSD 0.05 level of significance) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown) | | | | | | | | | | |